From Principal Component Analysis to Deep Learning with De-Noising Variational Auto-Encoders

Dr. Daniel Guterding daniel.guterding@gmail.com

February 5th, 2020

Lecture topic

Data sets with a large number of dimensions (variables) are a challenge for machine learning algorithms with respect to computational effort and memory usage.

The lecture will answer three closely related questions in this context:

- 1. How to reduce the number of dimensions in a sensible way?
- 2. How to distinguish relevant from irrelevant dimensions?
- 3. How to suppress noise in high-dimensional data?

Discussed algorithms

- 1. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
- 2. De-Noising Variational Auto-Encoder (DVAE)

Principal Component Analysis - Foundations

- take N data points with D dimensions
- write single data point as column vector with $\underline{x_i}$ (D \times 1)
- matrix of all data points is $\underline{\underline{X}} = (\underline{x_1}, \underline{x_2}, \dots, \underline{x_N})$ with $(D \times N)$
- center of all data points is $\underline{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \underline{x_i}$
- centered data points are $\underline{\underline{Y}} = (\underline{y_1}, \underline{y_2}, \dots, \underline{y_N})$ with $\underline{y_i} = \underline{x_i} \underline{\mu}$

Example

$$\underline{x_{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 4.0 \\ 4.0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \underline{x_{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 5.0 \\ 6.1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \underline{x_{3}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ -0.8 \end{pmatrix}, \ \underline{x_{4}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0 \\ -2.2 \end{pmatrix}, \ \underline{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.875 \\ 1.775 \end{pmatrix}$$

Principal Component Analysis - Foundations

- ► calculate **covariance matrix** $\underline{\underline{\Sigma}} = \underline{\underline{Y}} \underline{\underline{Y}}^T$, real and symm. with $(D \times D)$
- solve eigenvalue equation $\underline{\Sigma} = \underline{V} \underline{\Lambda} \underline{V}^{\mathsf{T}}$, real eigvecs and eigvals
- diagonal variance matrix $\underline{\underline{\Lambda}} = diag(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_D)$
- eigenvectors $\underline{\underline{V}} = (\underline{v_1}, \underline{v_2}, \dots, \underline{v_D})$ are orthogonal
- \blacktriangleright ν_i is i-th principal component with variance λ_i
- principal components can be sorted by variance

Example

$$\begin{split} \underline{Y} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.125 & 2.125 & -1.375 & -1.875 \\ 2.225 & 4.325 & -2.575 & -3.975 \end{pmatrix} \\ \underline{\Sigma} &= \underline{Y} \underline{Y}^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} 11.1875 & 22.6875 \\ 22.6875 & 46.0875 \end{pmatrix} \\ \underline{Y} &= (\underline{\nu_1}, \underline{\nu_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.442 & -0.897 \\ 0.897 & 0.442 \end{pmatrix} \\ \underline{\Lambda} &= \mathsf{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \mathsf{diag}(57.3, 0.02) \end{split}$$



Principal Component Analysis - Foundations

- principal components form new coordinate system
- matrix of linear transformation is unitary $\underline{V}^{\mathsf{T}} = \underline{V}^{-1}$
- new data matrix <u>Z</u> = <u>V</u>^T<u>Y</u> with (D × N) = (D × D) · (D × N)
 variance is **diagonal**: <u>Z</u><u>T</u> = <u>V</u>^T<u>Y</u><u>Y</u>^T<u>V</u> = <u>V</u>^T<u>Σ</u><u>V</u> = <u>V</u>^T<u>V</u><u>A</u><u>V</u>^T<u>V</u> = <u>A</u>





Principal Component Analysis - Recap

- principal component analysis finds new coordinate system
- transformation between old and new coordinates is linear
- new basis vectors are called principal components
- covariance matrix is diagonal in these coordinates
- principal components can be ordered by their contribution to the data set's total variance
- for data that almost lie on a line, the variance can be concentrated within a few principal components
- this allows for dimensionality reduction by discarding principal components with low associated variance

Principal Component Analysis - Dimensionality Reduction

- discarding principal component $\underline{v_i}$ discards variance λ_i
- \blacktriangleright easily done by erasing the i-th column in $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$
- usually v_i with smallest λ_i discarded first
- ▶ new transformation $\underline{\underline{\tilde{V}}} = (\underline{v_1}, \underline{v_2}, \dots, \underline{v_{D-1}})$ with $(D \times (D-1))$
- reduced data $\underline{\tilde{Z}} = \underline{\tilde{V}}^{\mathsf{T}} \underline{\tilde{Y}}$ with $((D-1) \times N)$

• discarding $\underline{v_i}$ erases i-th row in $\underline{\underline{Z}}$

Example

$$\underline{\underline{V}} = (\underline{\nu_1}, \underline{\nu_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.442 & -0.897 \\ 0.897 & 0.442 \end{pmatrix}, \ \underline{\underline{\Lambda}} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \text{diag}(57.3, 0.02)$$
$$\underline{\underline{Z}} = \underline{\underline{V}}^{\mathsf{T}} \underline{\underline{Y}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.493 & 4.819 & -2.918 & -4.394 \\ -0.026 & 0.004 & 0.096 & -0.074 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\underline{\underline{\tilde{V}}} = (\underline{\nu_1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.442 \\ 0.897 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\underline{\underline{\tilde{Z}}} = \underline{\underline{\tilde{V}}}^{\mathsf{T}} \underline{\underline{Y}} = (2.493 \quad 4.819 \quad -2.918 \quad -4.394)$$

Principal Component Analysis - Dimensionality Reduction



- ▶ although $\underline{\underline{V}}\underline{\underline{V}}^{\mathsf{T}} = \underline{\underline{1}}$, we have $\underline{\underline{\tilde{V}}}\underline{\underline{\tilde{V}}}^{\mathsf{T}} \neq \underline{\underline{1}}$
- information loss due to reduced dimension
- back-transformed data lie in subspace of lower dimension

Example

$$\underline{\underline{Y}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.125 & 2.125 & -1.375 & -1.875 \\ 2.225 & 4.325 & -2.575 & -3.975 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\underline{\underline{\tilde{Y}}} = (\underline{\nu_1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.442 \\ 0.897 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\underline{\tilde{Z}} = \underline{\tilde{Y}}^{\mathsf{T}} \underline{Y} = (2.493 \quad 4.819 \quad -2.918 \quad -4.394)$$





 $\underline{\tilde{Y}} = \underline{\tilde{V}} \underline{Z} = \underline{\tilde{V}} \underline{\tilde{V}}^{\mathsf{T}} \underline{\underline{Y}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.101 & 2.129 & -1.289 & -1.941 \\ 2.237 & 4.323 & -2.617 & -3.942 \end{pmatrix}$

Principal Component Analysis - Problems

Non-Linear Data

- principal component analysis only works well for linear data
- introduction of non-linear variables would further increase dimensionality
- kernel methods are solution (kernel PCA)

Algorithm

- diagonalization of covariance matrix does not scale well with dimensionality D
- implementations usually use singular value decomposition
- same result, but relation to variance harder to understand





Principal Component Analysis - De-Noising of Images



- MNIST Numbers contains 70000 hand-written numbers from 0 to 9
- ▶ $28 \times 28 = 784$ pixel per image, values from 0 (white) to 255 (black)
- **transform** every **image to column vector** with dimension (784×1)
- 80 (about 10%) of principal components contain 90% of variance
- we introduce random noise into a derived data set
- contained variance converges slower than for original data
- noise leads to small reconstruction errors and lowered contrast

Artificial Neural Networks - Foundations

- inspired by the structure of the brain
- layers of simple units with complex connections
- allows for non-linear transformations
- complexity of network can be controlled
- unit performs weighted sum and applies activation function
- output of unit is $a_{out} = g(b + \sum_{i} a_i w_i)$
- activation function ReLU
 g(x) = max(0, x) popular
- weights w_i must be learned
- high computational effort, training best done on GPUs



Auto-Encoder - Foundations

- encoder compresses high-dim. input data into low-dim. representation vector <u>z</u>
- decoder decompresses <u>z</u> into high-dim. output data
- compression is lossy, depends on structure of encoder/decoder and dimension of <u>z</u>
- ▶ principal component analysis is primitive Auto-Encoder; $\underline{\tilde{V}}^{T}$ as encoder, $\underline{\tilde{V}}$ as decoder
- real Auto-Encoder uses neural network as encoder/decoder
- allows for better compression and reconstruction because of more complex structure



Auto-Encoder - Foundations

- training of encoder/decoder minimizes quadratic deviation between input and output
- representation vector <u>z</u> lies in so-called latent space
- possible to generate and decode new <u>z</u>, so-called generative model
- transformation is point-wise, points that are close in latent space not necessarily related
- no guarantee that similar <u>z</u> lead to similar outputs
- insufficient reconstruction between training data
- Variational Auto-Encoder solves these problems





Variational Auto-Encoder - Foundations

• learns probability distribution $p(\underline{z})$

 input data are mapped to normal distribution with μ and ln Σ, no correlation

•
$$\underline{z} = \underline{\mu} + \underline{\underline{\sigma}} \underline{\varepsilon}, \ \underline{\underline{\sigma}} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\ln\underline{\underline{\Sigma}}\right), \ \underline{\varepsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

► Kullback-Leibler divergence measures deviation of distribution from N(0, 1)

►
$$D_{\text{KL}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \left(-1 - \ln(\sigma_i^2) + \mu_i^2 + \sigma_i^2 \right) \ge 0$$

- KLD is added to quadratic error, regularizes $\underline{\mu}$ and $\ln \underline{\underline{\Sigma}}$
- KLD centers <u>z</u> of training data around <u>0</u>
- similar input data, similar <u>z</u>
- algorithm seems ad-hoc, but mathematically well-established





14 / 19

Algorithms Comparison - Latent Space



- use MNIST data set
- plots use classification info, model does not
- in all cases two-dimensional representation is learned
- numbers 4, 5, 6 particulary problematic
- AE and VAE also not perfect
- grouping of numbers is done best by VAE

Variational Auto-Encoder - Generative Learning



De-Noising Variational Auto-Encoder - Foundations

- train VAE with artifical noisy input data
- calculate reconstruction error w.r.t. original data
- encoder learns de-noising
- latent space in DVAE similar to VAE
- decoder unchanged w.r.t. VAE
- rigorous mathematical justification exists



De-Noising VAE - De-Noising of Images



- ▶ 32 dimensions in latent space
- PCA and VAE trained with original data
- DVAE shows best image reconstruction
- VAE very sensitive to noise

Summary

Principal Component Analysis

- linear transformation diagonalizes variance matrix
- principal comp. can be sorted by variance
- dimensionality reduction by discarding principal components with low variance

De-Noising Variational Auto-Encoder

- combination of neural networks as encoder/decoder
- learns representation of data as low-dim. probability distribution
- trained with artificial noisy data and known clean data as target
- generative model

Literature

- Hastie, Tibshirani, Friedman: The Elements of Statistical Learning
- Geron: Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn and TensorFlow
- Goodfellow, Bengio, Courville: Deep Learning
- Foster: Generative Deep Learning
- Kingma, Welling: Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes, arXiv:1312.6114
- Im et al.: Denoising Criterion for Variational Auto-Encoding Framework, arXiv:1511.06406
- Doersch: Tutorial on Variational Autoencoders, arXiv:1606.05908
- Rolínek, Zietlow, Martius: Variational Autoencoders pursue PCA directions (by accident), arXiv:1812.06775

Training complexities of ML algorithms

- all listed complexities are provable upper bounds
- more efficient implementations may and do exist
- N: number of training samples
- D: number of features
- M: number of trees
- Linear regression: $O(ND^2 + D^3)$, because $\beta = (\underline{X}\underline{X}^T)^{-1}\underline{X}\underline{Y}$
- Support Vector Machine: $O(N^2D + N^3)$
- **Decision tree**: $O(N^2D)$
- **Random forest**: $O(N^2DM)$
- Artificial Neural Network: no general proof available

MNIST Principal Components









