Individual molecule description of kappa-type organic charge transfer salts

Daniel Guterding

Institut für Theoretische Physik Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main Germany

June 21, 2016



- 1 Crystal structure, Electronic structure, Phase diagram
- 2 Cooling-rate dependent metal-insulator transition
- 3 Superconducting state
- 4 Ab-initio calculations
- 5 Modelling
- 6 Explanation for the cooling-rate dependent MIT
- 7 RPA calculations for the superconducting state
- 8 Conclusion

Crystal structure of organic charge transfer salts

ET = BEDT-TTF =

bis(ethylene-dithio)-tetrathiafulvalene is the electron donor

- X is the electron acceptor [e.g. Cu(NCS)₂]
- ET molecules can be packed in different patterns
- κ-phase often superconducting
- features (ET)₂ dimers that donate one electron to acceptor layer
- here we concentrate on κ -(ET)₂X







Müller, ChemPhysChem 12, 1222 (2011) $_{\rm 3\,/\,21}$

Electronic structure of κ -(ET)₂X

- two-dimensional electronic structure in the ET-plane
- anion layer has filled shells (e.g. Cu d¹⁰)
- bands at Fermi level only from organic molecules
- often modelled by 1/2-filled anisotropic triangular lattice of dimers
- alternative is 3/4-filled individual molecule model on complicated lattice
- Fermi surface is an ellipse larger than the first BZ



Ferber, Foyevtsova, Jeschke, Valentí, PRB 89, 205106 (2014) 4 / 21

Properties of κ -(ET)₂X

- AFI to SC transition with pressure or variation of X ('chemical pressure')
- spin-1/2 smeared out over (ET)₂ dimer in AFI state
- almost perfect triangular lattice in κ-(ET)₂Cu₂(CN)₃, quantum spin-liquid
- nature of the superconducting state?
- no phase sensitive probes as in cuprates, problems with sample preparation
- critical endpoint of the MIT line
- \blacksquare freezing of intramolecular degrees of freedom around $~\sim 100~K$



Cooling-rate dependent Metal-Insulator transition

- ground state can be tuned by deuteration
- ethylene end group orientations metastable: parallel (eclipsed) and canted (staggered)
- glass transition for ethylene endgroups
- metal-insulator transition can be studied in one sample without application of pressure





Hartmann, Müller, Sasaki, PRB 90, 195150 (2014) 6 / 21

Experimental results for the SC order parameter

- experimentalists assume fourfold rotationally invariant order parameter
- all experiments subtract twofold rotationally invariant 'background'
- almost all experiments agree on presence of nodes, try to determine locations
- both d_{xy} and d_{x²-y²} have been concluded to exist in experiment
- multitude of disagreeing experiments
- top panel result from magnetocalorimetry, bottom panel results from thermal conductivity



Malone, Taylor, Schlueter, Carrington, PRB 82, 014522 (2010); Izawa, Yamaguchi, Sasaki, Matsuda, PRL 88, 027002 (2002) 7 / 21

New results from scanning tunneling spectroscopy

- first time that clean surface was used
- more than one set of nodes in one sample
- consistent with both d_{xy} and $d_{x^2-y^2}$
- phase separation?
- insulating patches in SC matrix known for κ-(ET)₂X
- proximity of d_{xy} to AFI makes sense, square lattice physics
- how to explain $d_{x^2-y^2}$ phase?



Ab-initio calculations for organic charge transfer salts

- all-electron full-potential DFT calculations (FPLO)
- more than 100 atoms per unit cell
- molecular orbital TB Hamiltonians from projective Wannier functions
- four hopping parameters sufficient
- $t_2/t_1 \in [0.538, 0.661], t_3/t_1 \in [0.289, 0.404], t_4/t_1 \in [0.099, 0.220]$





Guterding, Altmeyer, Jeschke, Valentí, arXiv:1605.07017; Ferber, Foyevtsova, Jeschke, Valentí, PRB 89, 205106 (2014) 9 / 21

Relation between Dimer and Molecule model

- original lattice structure encoded in molecule model
- traditionally dimer-approximated anisotropic triangular lattice is used
- one-band instead of four-band model
- averaging of t₂ and t₄ introduces C₄-symmetric hopping
- BZ can be unfolded from two- to one-band model



Brillouin zones and superconducting order parameters

- physical BZ is that of four- or two-band model
- larger unfolded BZ and 45 deg. rotation in one-band model
- natural SC order parameter of square lattice is d_{x²-y²}
- becomes d_{xy} in physical BZ
- we label SC states in physical BZ



Phase diagram of the anisotropic triangular lattice model

- t'/t controls frustration
- \blacksquare on-site interaction term $H_{\text{int}} = U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$
- AFI, M, QSL and SC phases reproduced
- not all methods agree on phase boundaries and existence of SC
- top plot is PIRG, bottom plot is CDMFT (most extreme examples for disagreement)
- many studies find same SC order parameter as in cuprates (d_{xy})
- are $d_{x^2-y^2}$ experiments wrong?
- side note: intra-dimer charge ordering observed



Morita, Watanabe, Imada, JPSJ 71, 2109 (2002); Kyung, Tremblay, PRL 97, 046402 (2006) 12 / 21

Phase diagram of the molecule model

- top panel HF, bottom panel FLEX
- HF reproduces important phases
- antiferromagnetic metal disappears for large dimerization
- SC order parameter changes as function of dimerization strength
- very few studies, no complete phase diagram
- influence of parameters aside from dimerization not studied



Kino, Fukuyama, JPSJ 65, 2158 (1996); Kuroki, Kimura, Arita, Tanaka, Matsuda, PRB 65, 100516(R) (2002) 13/21

Influence of molecular conformations on the electronic structure

- relax ethylene endgroups and adjacent sulfur atoms in DFT
- endgroups influence hopping amplitudes and Hubbard repulsion
- analyze within dimer model
- staggered endgroups have larger t'/t, U/t





Guterding, Valentí, Jeschke, PRB 92, 081109(R) (2015) 14 / 21

Tight binding+RPA formalism in a nutshell

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{H} &= \mathsf{H}_{0} + \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{int}} = \sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} (c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \mathsf{h.c.}) + \frac{\mathsf{U}}{2} \sum_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma} n_{i\bar{\sigma}} \\ \chi_{st}^{pq}(\vec{q}) &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\vec{k},\mu,\nu} a_{\mu}^{s}(\vec{k}) a_{\mu}^{p*}(\vec{k}) a_{\nu}^{q}(\vec{k} + \vec{q}) a_{\nu}^{t*}(\vec{k} + \vec{q}) \frac{f(\mathsf{E}_{\nu}(\vec{k} + \vec{q})) - f(\mathsf{E}_{\mu}(\vec{k}))}{\mathsf{E}_{\nu}(\vec{k} + \vec{q}) - \mathsf{E}_{\mu}(\vec{k})} \\ & \left[(\chi_{spin}^{\text{RPA}})_{st}^{pq} \right]^{-1} = [\chi_{st}^{pq}]^{-1} - (\mathsf{U}_{spin})_{st}^{pq} \\ \mathsf{\Gamma}_{st}^{pq}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') &= \left[\frac{3}{2} \mathsf{U}_{s} \, \chi_{s}^{\text{RPA}}(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \, \mathsf{U}_{s} + \frac{1}{2} \mathsf{U}_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \mathsf{U}_{c} \, \chi_{c}^{\text{RPA}}(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \mathsf{U}_{c} + \frac{1}{2} \mathsf{U}_{c} \right]_{ps}^{tq} \\ \mathsf{\Gamma}_{ij}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') &= \sum_{stpq} a_{i}^{t*}(-\vec{k}) a_{i}^{s*}(\vec{k}) \mathsf{Re} \left[\Gamma_{st}^{pq}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') \right] a_{j}^{p}(\vec{k}') a_{j}^{q}(-\vec{k}') \\ & -\sum_{j} \oint_{C_{j}} \frac{dk'_{\parallel}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{4\pi\nu_{\mathsf{F}}(\vec{k}')} \left[\mathsf{\Gamma}_{ij}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') + \mathsf{\Gamma}_{ij}(\vec{k},-\vec{k}') \right] g_{j}(\vec{k}') = \lambda_{i}g_{i}(\vec{k}) \\ \end{split}_{j}^{s} = \left[\frac{dk'_{\parallel}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{4\pi\nu_{\mathsf{F}}(\vec{k}')} \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') + \mathsf{L}_{ij}(\vec{k},-\vec{k}') \right] \mathsf{L}_{j}^{s}(\vec{k}') = \lambda_{i}g_{i}(\vec{k}) \\ \overset{s}{=} \left[\frac{dk'_{\parallel}}{q_{r}} \frac{1}{4\pi\nu_{\mathsf{F}}(\vec{k}')} \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') + \mathsf{L}_{ij}(\vec{k},-\vec{k}') \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k}') = \lambda_{i}g_{i}(\vec{k}) \\ \overset{s}{=} \left[\frac{dk'_{\parallel}}{q_{r}} \frac{1}{4\pi\nu_{\mathsf{F}}(\vec{k}')} \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},-\vec{k}') \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k}') = \lambda_{i}g_{i}(\vec{k}) \\ \overset{s}{=} \left[\frac{dk'_{\perp}}{q_{r}} \frac{1}{4\pi\nu_{\mathsf{F}}(\vec{k}')} \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') + \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},-\vec{k}') \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k}') = \lambda_{i}g_{i}(\vec{k}) \\ \overset{s}{=} \left[\frac{dk'_{\perp}}{q_{r}} \frac{1}{2\pi\nu_{\mathsf{F}}(\vec{k}')} \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') + \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},-\vec{k}') \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k}') = \lambda_{i}g_{i}(\vec{k}) \\ \overset{s}{=} \left[\frac{dk'_{\perp}}{q_{r}} \frac{1}{2\pi\nu_{\mathsf{F}}(\vec{k}')} \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') + \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},-\vec{k}') \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') \\ \overset{s}{=} \left[\frac{dk'_{\perp}}{q_{r}} \frac{1}{2\pi\nu_{\mathsf{F}}(\vec{k}')} \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') \\ \overset{s}{=} \left[\frac{dk'_{\perp}}{q_{r}} \frac{1}{2\pi\nu_{\mathsf{F}}(\vec{k},\vec{k}')} \right] \mathsf{L}_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') \\ \overset{s}{=} \left[\frac{dk'_{\perp}}{q_{r}} \frac{1}{2\pi\nu_{\mathsf{F}}(\vec{k},\vec{k}')} \right] \mathsf{L}_{i$$

Graser, Maier, Hirschfeld, Scalapino, NJP 11, 025016 (2009) $_{15\,/\,21}$

Susceptibility and order parameter: connecting the molecule and dimer models at finite dimerization



- \blacksquare dimer model physics is reproduced in molecule model for $t_4/t_2 \rightarrow 1$
- averaging of transfer integrals is crucial, not only dimerization strength
- additional set of nodes close to $k_y = 0$
- solution identified as $s_{\pm} + d_{x^2-y^2}$
- main features are q₁ and q₂

Connection between susceptibility features and hopping parameters

- s_1 : $\cos k_x + \cos k_y$
- s_2 : cos $k_x \cdot \cos k_y$
- $d_{x^2-y^2}$: cos $k_x \cos k_y$
- d_{xy} : sin $k_x \cdot sin k_y$
- feature q_1 controlled by t_3
- \blacksquare feature q_2 controlled by t_2 and t_4
- increase of t₄/t₂ makes q₂ more square-like, drives d_{xy}





Guterding, Altmeyer, Jeschke, Valentí, arXiv:1605.07017 17 / 21

Pairing phase diagram of κ -(ET)₂X

- phase transition from d_{xy} to $s_{\pm} + d_{x^2-y^2}$
- dimerization plays only minor role
- competition between t₂, t₄ and t₃ controls phases
- many materials close to phase transition
- additional set of nodes appears there
- some experimental reports of d_{xy} might have picked up those
- near-degeneracy of d_{xy} and $s_{\pm} + d_{x^2-y^2}$ in most materials



Guterding, Altmeyer, Jeschke, Valentí, arXiv:1605.07017 18 / 21

Connection to experiment: scanning tunneling spectroscopy

$$\Delta(\vec{k}) = \Delta_0[c_{s_1}(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + c_{d_1}(\cos k_x - \cos k_y) + c_{s_2}(\cos k_x \cdot \cos k_y)]$$

$$\begin{split} \rho_{qp}(E,\Gamma) \propto & \sum_{\vec{k}} \; \mathsf{Re} \frac{|E+i\Gamma|}{\sqrt{(E+i\Gamma)^2 - \Delta(\vec{k})^2}} \\ \mathsf{S}(V) = \; & \frac{1}{\mathsf{B}(V)\mathsf{T}(V)} \frac{dI(V)}{dV} \\ \propto \; & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \; [\rho_{qp}(E)(1-x)+x] \, \frac{-df(E+eV)}{dV} \end{split}$$

- simulate tunneling conductivity from quasiparticle DOS
- calculate QP DOS from Fermi surface approximation, FS not concentric circle
- introduce small broadening
- discriminates $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{xy}



Simulation of STS for the different SC states

- three different nodal states
- s_± + d_{x²-y²} with four nodes
- s_± + d_{x²-y²} with eight nodes close to phase transition
- d_{xy} state in square-like regime
- QP DOS somewhat similar, but different slopes



Summary

- κ-(ET)₂X materials offer extraordinary tunability
- we calculated kinetic part of models for many κ-type materials
- dimer model describes phase diagram to first approximation
- blocked further progress regarding SC state
- molecule model mostly unexplored (spin-liquid, influence of V on phase boundaries)

References

- Guterding, Valentí, Jeschke, PRB 92, 081109(R) (2015)
- Guterding et al., PRL 116, 237001 (2016)
- Guterding, Altmeyer, Jeschke, Valentí, arXiv:1605.07017

Electronic bandstructure for different ethylene endgroup configurations



Guterding, Valentí, Jeschke, PRB 92, 081109(R) (2015) 22 / 21

STS data for κ -(ET)₂[N(CN)₂]Br

- tunneling parallel to conducting layers
- background DOS is modelled by Anderson-Hubbard model





Alternative fits for STS data



Calculating the quasiparticle DOS

- use gap calculated from RPA and TB bands or Fermi surface
- full calculation uses bandstructure and tetrahedron method
- quasiparticle calculation uses Fermi surface only
- excellent agreement in relevant energy window

