Doping dependence of superconducting transition temperatures in alkali metal/ammonia intercalated FeSe

Daniel Guterding, Harald O. Jeschke, Peter J. Hirschfeld, and Roser Valentí Institut für Theoretische Physik

March 4, 2015



## Superconductivity of iron selenide and derived materials



Figure : Noji et al., Physica C 504, 8 (2014)

# *Ab-initio* calculations for lithium/ammonia intercalated FeSe

#### Idealized structure

- $\blacksquare$  Li\_x(NH\_2)\_y(NH\_3)\_zFe\_2Se\_2 with T\_c \sim 44 K
- lattice parameters and FeSe layer from exp.

Burrard-Lucas et al., Nat. Mater. 12, 15 (2013)

SedImaier et al., JACS 136, 630 (2014)

- construct super cell with Li:Fe ratio close to exp.
- result:  $Li_{0.5}(NH_3)Fe_2Se_2$ , 0.25  $e^-$  per Fe doping

#### Electronic band structure analysis

- full potential local orbital (FPLO) code
- band structure unfolding (Tomić, Jeschke, Valentí, PRB 90, 195121 (2014))
- projective Wannier functions (16-, 10-, 8- and 5-band models)
- simulate NH<sub>2</sub> with virtual crystal approximation (VCA)



### Fermi surface within the 16-band model



## Non-interacting susceptibility in the 8-band model

- non-interacting susceptibility reveals magnetic instabilities
- electron doping destroys  $(\pi, 0)$ nesting
- no stripe AFM is to be excpected for electron doped intercalates
- agrees with neutron scattering exp.

Taylor et al., PRB 87, 220508 (2014)



#### RPA spin-fluctuation pairing in the 8-band model



## Summary

- in 2D limit electron doping enhances T<sub>c</sub>
- dimensionality and electron doping can be controlled through the interlayer chemistry
- increase of c-axis beyond 9 Å does not increase T<sub>c</sub> because system is already 2D
- intercalates without hole pockets have low T<sub>c</sub> because large DOS is somewhere below Fermi level
- published: Guterding et al., PRB 91, 041112(R) (2015)



Figure : Noji et al., Physica C **504**, 8 (2014)

# Crystal structure and properties of lithium/ammonia intercalated FeSe

- lithium atoms are dissolved in liquid ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>)
- ammonia rich and ammonia poor crystals can be synthesized
- $Li_{0.56}(NH_2)_{0.53}(NH_3)_{1.19}Fe_2Se_2$ has  $T_c = 39$  K and c = 10.3 Å
- $Li_{0.6}(NH_2)_{0.2}(NH_3)_{0.8}Fe_2Se_2$  has T<sub>c</sub> = 44 K and c = 8.1 Å
- larger c-axis gives lower T<sub>c</sub>
- [NH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> is a radical, should oxidize Li
- charge doping is important!



Figure : SedImaier et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. **136**, 630 (2014)

# Simulation of NH<sub>2</sub> content within VCA

- VCA interpolates continously between atom with nuclear charge Z and atom with nuclear charge Z − 1 or Z + 1
- fractionally replacing N (Z = 7) by C (Z = 6) interpolates between neutral NH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub> radical
- use notation Li<sub>0.5</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>0.5-2r</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>0.5+2r</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>2</sub>
- r = {0.0, ..., 0.25} is the number of nominally doped e<sup>-</sup>/Fe
- VCA agrees well with explicit removal of H atoms
- H bands at Fermi level then prevent good fit of band structure



### Band structure within the 16-band model



# Summary of band structure and Fermi surface analysis

#### Importance of $\mathsf{NH}_2$

- r = 0 gives electronic structure like undoped material
- NH<sub>2</sub> content indeed controls the doping level

#### $Li_{0.56}(NH_2)_{0.53}(NH_3)_{1.19}Fe_2Se_2$ (exp. ammonia rich)

T<sub>c</sub> = 39 K and 
$$c = 10.3$$
 Å

• r = 0.015, almost no electron doping

#### $Li_{0.6}(NH_2)_{0.2}(NH_3)_{0.8}Fe_2Se_2$ (exp. ammonia poor)

• has 
$$T_c = 44$$
 K and  $c = 8.1$  Å

• r = 0.2, strongly electron doped

## Doping dependence of the SC pairing strength

- constant interaction parameters U = 1.35 eV, U' = U/2, J = J' = U/4
- pairing strength λ drops initially as nesting is destroyed, then increases with electron doping
- hole pockets are on the verge of disappearing
- electron doping increases DOS at the Fermi level
- leads to enhanced spin-fluctuations, i.e. stronger pairing
- e.g. K<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2-y</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> has lower T<sub>c</sub> because hole pockets are gone



## Microscopic origin of the DOS enhancement

- shift of the bands is not rigid
- next-neighbor hopping in d<sub>xy</sub> orbital is strongly reduced
- direct and indirect contributions to t<sub>1</sub> have different sign
- indirect process dominates at low doping
- states from Se are lowered in energy due to positive charge in the interlayer
- indirect hopping decreases, cancellation at maximum doping
- bandwidth reduction and Fermi level shift work together to enhance the pairing
- lower two figures adapted from Suzuki et al., PRL 113, 027002 (2014)



## Relative importance of c-axis height and electron doping

- doping dependence was extracted based on ammonia poor compound
- ammonia poor compound has slight corrugation on the Fermi surface cylinders
- higher c-axis makes ammonia rich perfectly 2D
- ammonia rich compound has higher λ at identical doping level
- in reality it has lower  $T_c$
- actual charge doping level makes the difference in the 2D limit
- FS becomes 2D with c-axis of ~ 9 Å



#### Tight binding+RPA formalism in a nutshell

$$\begin{split} \chi_{st}^{pq}(\vec{q}) &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\vec{k},\mu,\nu} a_{\mu}^{s}(\vec{k}) a_{\mu}^{p*}(\vec{k}) a_{\nu}^{q}(\vec{k}+\vec{q}) a_{\nu}^{t*}(\vec{k}+\vec{q}) \frac{f(E_{\nu}(\vec{k}+\vec{q})) - f(E_{\mu}(\vec{k}))}{E_{\nu}(\vec{k}+\vec{q}) - E_{\mu}(\vec{k})} \\ & \left[ (\chi_{spin}^{RPA})_{st}^{pq} \right]^{-1} = [\chi_{st}^{pq}]^{-1} - (U_{spin})_{st}^{pq} \\ \Gamma_{st}^{pq}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') &= \left[ \frac{3}{2} U_{s} \, \chi_{s}^{RPA}(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \, U_{s} + \frac{1}{2} U_{s} - \frac{1}{2} U_{c} \, \chi_{c}^{RPA}(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') U_{c} + \frac{1}{2} U_{c} \right]_{ps}^{tq} \\ \Gamma_{ij}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') &= \sum_{stpq} a_{i}^{t*}(-\vec{k}) a_{i}^{s*}(\vec{k}) \text{Re} \left[ \Gamma_{st}^{pq}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') \right] a_{j}^{p}(\vec{k}') a_{j}^{q}(-\vec{k}') \\ & -\sum_{j} \oint_{C_{j}} \frac{dk'_{\parallel}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{4\pi\nu_{F}(\vec{k}')} \left[ \Gamma_{ij}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') + \Gamma_{ij}(\vec{k},-\vec{k}') \right] g_{j}(\vec{k}') = \lambda_{i}g_{i}(\vec{k}) \end{split}$$

Graser, Maier, Hirschfeld, Scalapino, New Journal of Physics 11, 025016 (2009)

## Other interesting talks

#### Z5.00004 : Generalized unfolding method, Friday 11:15 AM, Milan Tomić